,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	an/	10/033,835	CHANG ET AL.
	ar y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Leigh C. Maier	1623
All Participants:		Status of Applicati	on: <u>after final</u>
(1) <u>Leigh C. Maier</u> .		(3)	
(2) Howard Eisenberg.		(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 December 2004</u>		Time: <u>9:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		ant's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a			
Claims discussed: 45, 63, and 74		,	
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The eparate r	e examiner will provide record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		<i>,</i>	•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (/	Applicant	/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		.,	3 · · ·

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exr indicated that in order for claims to be consistent with stated reasons for allowance in final rejection, the compositions must have at least 0.5% of BCD and be stable (as recited). The claims reciting "about 0.5%" allows for less than 0.5%. Mr Eisenberg authorized an examiner's amendment to delete "about" in claims 45, 63, and 74.